UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DIAGEO NOR	TH AMERICA, INC.,				
	Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant, v.	Case No. 1:17-cv-04259-LLS			
W.J. DEUTSCH & SONS LTD. d/b/a DEUTSCH FAMILY WINE & SPIRITS, and BARDSTOWN BARREL SELECTIONS LLC,					
	Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs.				
	SPECIAL VERDICT FO	<u>DRM</u>			
PART I: Infrin	gement of the Bulleit Trademark Registr	ation			
	Did Defendant Deutsch establish by a prepo Bulleit Design Mark and Trade Dress are fu				
Answer:	Yes No				
GO TO THE N	EXT QUESTION.				
	Did Defendant Deutsch establish by clear an Diageo abandoned the Bulleit Packaging Tra				
Answer:	Yes No				
GO TO THE N	EXT QUESTION				
I	Did Defendant Deutsch establish by clear ar Diageo obtained the Bulleit Trademark Regi the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office?	_			
Answer:	Yes No				
GO TO THE N	EXT QUESTION				

4. Did Plaintiff Diageo establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the registered Bulleit Packaging Trademark is valid and protectable?

Answer:		Yes No
		f you answered Yes to Question 4, go to Question 5. If you answered No to ip to Question 6.
	5.	Did Plaintiff Diageo establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the Redemption Bottle Design creates a likelihood of confusion with the registered Bulleit Packaging Trademark?
Answer:		Yes No
GO TO N	EXT	QUESTION
PART II:	Infi	ringement of the Unregistered Bulleit Trade Dress
		Did Plaintiff Diageo establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the unregistered Bulleit Trade Dress is distinctive?
Answer:		Yes No
GO TO N	EXT	QUESTION
	7.	Did Plaintiff Diageo establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the <u>unregistered</u> Bulleit Trade Dress is non-functional?
Answer:		Yes No
		If you answered Yes to Questions 6 and 7, go to Question 8. If you answered No to n 6 or 7, skip to Question 9.
	8.	Did Plaintiff Diageo establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the Redemption Bottle Design creates a likelihood of confusion with the <u>unregistered</u> Bulleit Trade Dress?
Answer:		Yes No
		If you answered Yes to Questions 4 and 5 or to Questions 6, 7 and 8, go to Question vered No to any Question 4 through 8, skip to Question 10.

PART III: Infringement of the Bulleit Trade Dress at Common	Lav	Common 1	at C	Dress at	Trade	Bulleit	the	of	Infringement	III:	PART
---	-----	----------	------	----------	-------	---------	-----	----	--------------	------	-------------

g	€.	Did Plaintiff Diageo establish by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendants Deutsch acted in bad faith and violated common law prohibitions against trademark infringement?
Answer:		Yes No
		f you answered Yes to Question 6, go to Question 10. If you answered No to ip to Question 14.
PART IV: I	Dil	ution of Plaintiff Diageo's Bulleit Packaging Trademark Under Federal Law
]	10.	Did Plaintiff Diageo establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the Bulleit Trade Dress is Famous?
Answer:		Yes No
GO TO NE	ΧT	QUESTION
1	11.	If you answered yes to the previous question, did Plaintiff Diageo establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the Redemption bottle design created a likelihood of dilution of the Bulleit Packaging Trademark under federal law?
Answer:		Yes No
GO TO NE	XΊ	QUESTION
PART V: D	ilu	tion of Plaintiff Diageo's Bulleit Packaging Trademark Under New York Law
:	12.	Did Plaintiff Diageo establish by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Deutsch caused a likelihood of dilution of the Bulleit Packaging Trademark under New York law?
Answer:		Yes No
		f you answered Yes to Questions 5, 8, or 11, go to Question 13. If you answered as 5, 8, or 11, skip to Question 14.

PART VI: Defendant Deutsch's

13. Did Defendant Deutsch establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the Redemption Bottle Design was a fair use?

Answer:	Yes No
	on: If you answered Yes to Questions 10 and 11, go to Question 14. If you answered No ns 10 or 11, skip to Question 15.
PART VII	I: Damages
	14. Did Plaintiff Diageo establish by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Deutsch willfully diluted the Bulleit Packaging Trademark under federal law?
Answer:	Yes No
	on: If you answered Yes to Question 13, skip to Question 19. If you answered No to 13 and you answered Yes to any of Questions 5, 8, or 14, go to Question 15.
	15. Did Plaintiff Diageo establish by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to recover actual damages due to Defendant Deutsch's willful dilution of the Bulleit Trade Dress or its infringement of the registered or unregistered Bulleit Trade Dress?
Answer:	Yes No
GO TO NI	EXT QUESTION
	16. If you answered yes to the previous question, what amount of actual damages is Plaintiff Diageo entitled to recover?
Answer:	
GO TO N	EXT QUESTION
	17. Did Plaintiff Diageo establish by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to recover all or a portion of Defendant's profits due to Defendant Deutsch's willful dilution of the Bulleit Trade Dress or its infringement of the registered or unregistered Bulleit Trade Dress?
Answer:	Yes No
GO TO N	EXT QUESTION
	18. If you answered yes to the previous question, what amount of Defendant Deutsch's profits is Plaintiff Diageo entitled to recover?

Answer:	
Instruction: 1 Question 19.	If you answered Yes to Question 9 and answered No to Questions 15 and 17, go to
19	. Did Plaintiff Diageo establish by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to recover actual damages due to Defendant Deutsch's use of the Bulleit Trade Dress in violation of common law?
Answer:	Yes No
GO TO NEX	T QUESTION
20	. If you answered yes to the previous question, what amount of actual damages is Plaintiff Diageo entitled to recover?
Answer:	
	NOW COMPLETED YOUR DELIBERATIONS. SIGN THIS VERDICT INFORM THE MARSHAL THAT YOU ARE READY TO RETURN TO FROOM.
21	Soul Jallont
Sasan	y Bancroft DCoty
Yam	Mostont former St
Chits (Zella 20
	DATE: $6/1/22$